

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/405,946	09/27/1999	PIERRE HILAIRE	ART9901	1073
75	90 10/03/2002			
JAMES J LEARY SUITE 330 505 WEST OLIVE AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			MENDEZ, MANUEL A	
SUNNYVALE,	CA 94086		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3763	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/405,946	HILAIRE, PIERRE			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Manuel Mendez	3763			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	•			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) 🛛 (	Claim(s) $1-19$ is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
•	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tra	demark Office	•				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

After a careful review of the restriction requirement, applicant's arguments are found to be persuasive. Accordingly, the restriction requirement presented on paper number 9 is hereby withdrawn. Claims 1-19 will be examined in the prosecution of this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cox, et al. The cited case discloses a connector body having a tubular portion extending therefrom and a valve body including a valve element with a passage therethrough, the valve body being axially movable with respect to the connector body; wherein the valve body is movable from a closed position in which the tubular portion of the connector body is exterior to the passage of the valve element to an open position in which the tubular position of the connector body is applied against the valve element to at least partially open the valve element.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and Art Unit: 3763

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-12 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox, et al., in view of Nelson, et al., Cheer, et al., or Schmidt, et al. Cox, et al., does not disclose the use of a Y-connector and a luer lock at the end of the Y-connector. However, Nelson, et al., shows in figure 3 an embodiment having a Y-connector. Additionally, Cheer, et al., shows in figure 2, a branched section having a luer connection (32); and, Schmidt, et al., shows in figure 1, the use of a luer lock connection in the proximal section of the valve device. Based on the above observations, a person of ordinary skill in the art would consider the enhancements presented in claims 5-12 and 14-19, obvious design alternatives.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel Mendez whose telephone number is 703-308-2221. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Manuel Mendez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763